Appln. No. 10/797,278 Amd. dated May 2, 2007 Reply to Office Action of April 4, 2007

REMARKS

The Election of Species Requirement presented in the Office

Action has been noted.

It is also noted that the present application contains two

claims numbered "5". It is therefore requested that the Examiner

renumber the claims, starting with the second claim bearing the number

"5" so that, starting with that claim, the claims will be numbered 6-

15.

In response to the Election of Species Requirement,

applicant hereby provisionally selects Group III, on which claims 1, 2,

5 and 7-15 (renumbered) are readable.

It is noted that claims 1, 2 and 7-15 (renumbered) are

included in all of the identified Groups and it is therefore understood

that those claims are considered to be generic. It is understood that

if a generic claim is eventually allowed, the Election Requirement will

be withdrawn and all pending claims will be permitted to remain in the

application.

In action on the merits of the elected claims is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant

Rv

. Finkelstein

stration No. 21,082

JMF: smb

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\C\cohn\Reis2\Pto\2007-05-02 RESPONSE.doc